Cretaceous Research 93 (2019) 346-359

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Cretaceous Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/CretRes

The first representative of Progonocimicidae (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha) from mid-Cretaceous Burmese amber

Tian Jiang ^a, Bo Wang ^{b, c}, Jacek Szwedo ^{d, *}

^a China University of Geosciences (Beijing), No. 29 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100083, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Palaeobiology and Stratigraphy, Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Center for Excellence in Life and

ABSTRACT

Paleoenvironment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 39 East Beijing Road, Nanjing, 210008, China

^c Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Depositional Mineralization & Sedimentary Minerals, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, Shandong, 266590, China

^d Laboratory of Evolutionary Entomology and Museum of Amber Inclusions, Department of Invertebrate Zoology and Parasitology, Faculty of Biology, University of Gdańsk, 59, Wita Stwosza St., PL80-308, Gdańsk, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 May 2018 Received in revised form 16 August 2018 Accepted in revised form 25 September 2018 Available online 27 September 2018

Keywords: Burmese amber Coleorrhyncha Progonocimicidae Gakasha calcaridentata gen. et sp. nov. Synonymy New combinations

1. Introduction

The hemipteran suborder Coleorrhyncha Myers et China, 1929 is known in the fossil record since the Permian. These Hemiptera, also known as 'moss bugs', contains four families: the only extant family Peloridiidae Breddin, 1897 (Holocene-recent); and extinct families Karabasiidae Yu. Popov, 1985 (Jurassic, Sinemurian-Tithonian), Hoploridiidae Yu. Popov et Shcherbakov, 1991 (Cretaceous, Aptian) and Progonocimicidae Handlirsch, 1906 (Permian (Changhsingian)–Cretaceous (Aptian)) (Dong et al., 2014; Szwedo, 2018).

The extant Peloridiidae comprises 17 genera and 38 described species, distributed in temperate and subantarctic rain forests of eastern Australia, New Zealand, New Caledonia and Patagonia, in the Southern Hemisphere, with a classical southern Gondwanan distribution (Burckhardt, 2009; Burckhardt et al., 2011; Shcherbakov, 2014; Ye et al., 2018). Mainly found in damp moss or on decaying mossy trunks and twigs of *Nothofagus* trees, almost all extant species of Peloridiidae lack hind wings and are unable to fly. They occasionally feed on moss rhizoids, or even on wooddestroying fungi or on lichens (Evans, 1982; Popov and Shcherbakov, 1996; Szwedo, 2011; Shcherbakov, 2014).

The family Hoploridiidae was previously considered to be one of the subfamilies of Karabasiidae (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991) but recently, Dong et al. (2014) suggested they to be raised to family rank. Species of Hoploridiidae are characterized by the large and flattened body, and distinguished from Karabasiidae by the reticulate venation and wide paranota lacking areolation, twosegmented hind tarsi without an apical pecten of teeth. The family Karabasiidae was considered to be a transitional group between Progonocimicidae and Peloridiidae (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991, 1996; Wang B. et al., 2009; Szwedo, 2011; Jiang J.Q. et al., 2016).

The more ancient family Progonocimicidae was widespread in Eurasia, Australia, and South America from the Late Permian to Late

Gakasha calcaridentata gen. et sp. nov. representing Progonocimicidae: Cicadocorinae (moss bugs) is described. It is the first record of Coleorrhyncha in mid-Cretaceous Burmese amber and the second in fossil resins from the Cretaceous. The taxonomic position of some taxa placed in the genus *Mesocimex* is analysed and new placements proposed. The fossil record of Cicadocorinae is discussed.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd.





^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jiangtian@cugb.edu.cn (T. Jiang), bowang@nigpas.ac.cn (B. Wang), jacek.szwedo@biol.ug.edu.pl (J. Szwedo).

Cretaceous with more than 20 genera, consisting of two subfamilies: Progonocimicinae Handlirsch, 1906 and Cicadocorinae Becker-Migdisova, 1958 (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991, 1996; Wang B. et al., 2009; Szwedo, 2011; Jiang J.Q. et al., 2016). This statement was undermined by Dong et al. (2014), but based on weak premises. Progonocimicinae was considered to derive from the Ingruidae (Ingruomorpha Szwedo, 2018) in the late Permian, and consists the ancestors of Cicadocorinae and Karabasiidae (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1996). Members of this subfamily were widely dispersed in the Triassic (eastern part of Australia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan; the taxa from Argentina need revision, most probably representing Heteroptera: Archegocimicidae), while in the Jurassic their records are limited to Europe (Germany, Belgium). Cicadocorinae appeared in the fossil record in the early Jurassic and persisted at least to mid-Cretaceous.

According to previous studies, the Progonocimicidae: Cicadocorinae only occurred during the Cretaceous in the United Kingdom, Russia (Transbaikalia Krai), Mongolia and in Lebanon in the southern hemisphere, with 6 genera and 10 species (Figs 1, 7, Table 1).

2. Material and method

The amber under study was from an amber mine located near Noije Bum Village, Tanaing (Tanai) Town, Kachin State, Myanmar (Kania et al., 2015: fig. 1A–D; Jiang T. et al., 2018: fig. 1A–C). The U-Pb dating of zircons from the volcanoclastic matrix of the amber has provided a refined age estimate of 98.79 ± 0.62 Ma for the deposit (Shi et al., 2012), although some traces of re-deposition suggested that the amber might be reworked and the age of the amber should be older than the deposits (Ross, 2015; Wang B. et al., 2016, 2015; Zhang et al., 2017). Domichnia (crypts) of martesiine bivalves (Pholadidae: Martesiinae) boring into amber pieces suggested than the age of the amber is older than the deposit, but recent study of bivalves has shown that some of them were boring into the resin while it was still soft indicating than the amber is contemporaneous with the age of the deposit (Ross, 2018; Smith and Ross, 2018).

The amber deposit is located in the West Burma terrane, which collided with the Sibumasu terrane in the Eurasian continental margin at around 80 Ma, in the low latitude tropical zone (Heine and Müller, 2005; Seton et al., 2012; Broly et al., 2015). This suggests some island or archipelago environments for at least part of the amberiferous area at time of resin formation and deposition (Jiang et al., 2018; Rasnitsyn and Öhl-Kühlme, 2018). The depositional environment was suggested to be a nearshore marine setting close to deltas, with marine fossils found in the deposits (Cruickshank and Ko, 2003; Thu and Zaw, 2017).

The specimen was prepared in the Laboratory of Evolutionary Entomology and Museum of Amber Inclusions, University of Gdańsk, Poland, and was observed under a stereoscopic microscope with varying illumination and filters to increase contrast of pigmentation and morphological details. Drawings were prepared under a Nikon microscope (SMZ1000) with a drawing tube attached, and photographs were taken using a Zeiss AXIO Zoom.V16 microscope system, in the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Photographs and drawings were adjusted using CorelDraw X8 and CorelPhoto-Paint X8 packages. All taxonomic acts established in the present work have been registered in ZooBank.

The nomenclature of the wing venation used in this paper is based on the general scheme for Paraneoptera (Nel et al., 2012), modifying the interpretations of Kukalová-Peck (1991), Popov and Shcherbakov (1991) and Szwedo (2011). Cell nomenclature is adopted from Bourgoin et al. (2015). Abbreviations:

PC - precosta

- CA costa anterior
- CP costa posterior
- bScP basal portion of subcosta posterior
- dScP distal portion of subcosta posterior

dScP+RA₁ – common branch of distal portion of subcostal posterior fused with first branch of radius anterior; Popov and Shcherbakov (1991) interpreted this vein as free distal portion of subcosta

R – radius



Fig. 1. Distribution map of known Cretaceous Progonocimicidae: Cicadocorinae, palaeogeographic reconstruction for 105 Ma, courtesy of Professor Ron Blakey (Colorado Plateau Geosystems, Inc.); climatic belts after Skelton (2003) and Chumakov (2004).



Fig. 2. Gakasha calcaridentata gen. et sp. nov. A. General dorsal view of the specimen. B. Ventral view of the specimen. C. Anterior portion of body. D. Head in dorsal view. E. Sculpture of pronotum. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

RA – radius anterior

RP – radius posterior; RS according to Popov and Shcherbakov (1991)

MP – media posterior; according to Kukalová-Peck (1991) vein MA in Paraneoptera is completely fused with R

 MP_{1+2} – common stalk of MP_1 and MP_2

 MP_1 – first terminal of Media posterior

MP₂ - second terminal of media posterior

 \mbox{MP}_{3+4} – common terminal of third and fourth branch of media posterior

CuA - cubitus anterior

CuA₁ – first terminal of cubitus anterior

CuA₂ – second terminal of cubitus anterior; ambient vein according to Popov and Shcherbakov (1991)

CuP – cubitus posterior (claval fold, claval suture is parallel and adjacent to CuP; in former papers these two structures were treated as "claval fracture"; claval fracture according to Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991)

Pcu – postcubitus

 A_1- first anal vein; veins Pcu and A_1 are fused at apical portion of clavus forming common stalk $\mbox{Pcu}+A_1$



Fig. 3. Gakasha calcaridentata gen. et sp. nov. A. Head in ventral view. B. Right antenna. C. Left antenna. D. Basal cell of right tegmen. E. Claval margin, tornus, nodal line of left tegmen and posterior part of left hind wing.

rp-mp – transverse veinlet connecting radius posterior and media posterior

mp-cua — transverse veinlet connecting media posterior and cubitus anterior

icu – veinlet connecting cubitus posterior with claval margin or tornus

costal area – area between veins of costal complex, i.e. CA and PC+CP (veins of costal complex are termed precostal carina by Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991)

costal cell – area of corium between costal complex and stem $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ScP}}\xspace{\mathsf{R}}$

radial cell – area of corium between stems $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ScP}}\xspace+\ensuremath{\mathsf{R}}\xspace$ and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MP}}\xspace$

medial cell – area of corium between stems MP and CuA

cubital cell – area of corium between stem CuA and claval suture (\mbox{CuP})

Cell C0 – area delimited by forking of ScP+RA₁ and RA₂ ('stigmal area' in Sternorrhyncha and Fulgoromorpha)

Cell C1 – area delimited by forking of RA and RP

Cell C2 – area between branches RP and MP/MP $_{1+2}$, proximally and distally delimited by radiomedial transverse veinlet rp- mp_1

Cell C3 - area between first fork of stem MP, i.e. between branches $\mbox{\rm MP}_2$ and $\mbox{\rm MP}_{3+4}$

Cell C3a – area between branches MP_1 and MP_2

Cell C4 – area between branches MP_{3+4} and CuA_1

Cell C5 - the areola postica, the cell delimited by the first fork of stem CuA, i.e. branches CuA₁ and CuA₂.

3. Systematic palaeontology

Order Hemiptera Linnaeus, 1758 Suborder Coleorrhyncha Myers et China, 1929 Superfamily Progonocimicoidea Handlirsch, 1906 Family Progonocimicidae Handlirsch, 1906 Subfamily Cicadocorinae Becker-Migdisova, 1958



Fig. 4. Gakasha calcaridentata gen. et sp. nov. A. Protibia and protarsus. B; Mesofemur, mesotibia and mesotarsus; C. Left metatibia. D. Left metatarsus, female genital plate, ovipositor and anal tube, ventral view. E. Lateral spurs of left metatibia. F. Right metatarsus, ventral view. G. Anal tube, ovipositor and left metatarsus, dorsal view through the tegmen membrane.



Fig. 5. Gakasha calcaridentata gen. et sp. nov. A. Head in anteroventral view. B. Outline of head, pronotum and mesonotum, dorsal view. C. Left tegmen, pattern of venation and cell nomenclature, c – costal cell, r – radial cell; m – median cell. D. Hindwing venation pattern. E. Left tegmen. F. Protibia and protarsus; G. Mesoleg. H. Metaleg. I. Female abdomen and genital structures, ventral view. Scale bars: 0.2 mm.

2012 Ciadococinae [sic!] Becker-Migdisova, 1958: Dong et al., p. 74 2013 Ciadococinae [sic!] Becker-Migdisova, 1958: Dong et al., p. 34

Genus Gakasha gen. nov.

Type species: *Gakasha calcaridentata* sp. nov., by present designation and monotypy

LSID: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D8594277-ED94-444E-B4F1-6E3D 62F61DAB

Etymology. Generic name is derived from the word 'ga kasha' meaning "a minute insect" in Kachin language. Gender: feminine Diagnosis. In general shape of tegmen resembling Cretaceous genera Onokhoia Yu. Popov, 1988 and Ilahulgabalus Szwedo, Azar et Ziadé, 2011 (tegmen elongated, not broadly rounded as in Yuripopovia Jarzembowski, 1991, Valdiscytina Yu. Popov, 1993 in Klimaszewski and Popov, 1993, Ildavia Yu. Popov, 1993 in Klimaszewski and Popov, 1993 and Popovus Özdikmen et Demir, 2007). Posterior margin of tegmen rounded (more truncate in Onokhoia and Ilahulgabalus); bScP reaching nearly to apex of basal cell (bScP not reaching half of basal cell in *Ilahulgabalus*); dScP+RA₁ separating from stem R at level of Pcu+A1 intersection with claval fold and CuP (dScP+RA₁ separating from stem R at level of claval veins Pcu and A₁ junction in Onokhoia; dScP+RA1 separating from stem R slightly apicad of claval veins junction in *Ilahulgabalus*); basal cell closed with longer stalk of MP+CuA, and shorter CuA stalk (basal cell closed by subequal stalks MP+CuA and CuA in *Onokhoia*; basal cell closed at point by stalk MP+CuA in *llahulgabalus*); short, oblique veinlet *rp-mp*₁ present (absent in *Onokhoia* – branches RP and MP₁ connected at point; longer, not so oblique veinlet *rp-mp* in *llahulgabalus*). Hind wing with a short common terminal CuP+Pcu (similar to *Absoluta* Becker-Migdisova, 1962; common terminal CuP+Pcu longer in *llahulgabalus*). Metatibia with two movable lateral spurs (no armature of metatibia in *Onokhoia*; single movable lateral spur in *llahulgabalus*), and four apical spurs (two external ones longer).

Description. Head with compound eyes narrower than pronotum (ca. 0.61), about 4 times as wide as long in midline. Vertex between compound eyes about twice as wide as long in mid line, wider at anterior and posterior margins; anterior margin slightly arcuate, posterior margin subparallel, elevated; disc of vertex with delicate puncturation. Lateral ocelli distinct, at half of compound eyes length, adpressed to compound eyes. Supraantennal ridge straight; median ocellus just above the ridge. Postclypeus slightly swollen, longer in mid line than wide at base, subtriangular, wider in upper margin, tapering ventrad; anteclypeus shorter than postclypeus, convex, subtriangular, about as long in mid line as wide; loral plates semilunar, with upper angles reaching upper margin of postclypeus, reaching lateral margins of anteclypeus at about its upper ½. Maxillary plate and genae narrow. Clypelus about three times as



Fig. 6. Graphical summary of taxonomic decisions and synonyms of the genera Cicadocoris and Mesocimex.

long as wide at base. Bases of antennae between margin of clypeus and lower margin of compound eyes; antenna 3-segmented, scapus short, pedicel twice as long as wide, flagellum longer than pedicel, thick, tapered, annulate, with 7 annuli, each annulus provided with two longer and thicker setae (some shorter, irregular setae present on basal annuli), three basal pairs about as long as annulus width, apical with setae longer than length of annulus, terminal one with four longer apical setae, two apical and two subapical ones. Rostrum with basal segment short, apical segment reaching mesocoxae.

Pronotum subhexagonal, wider than mesonotum, about 2.5 times as wide as long in midline; anterior margin arcuate; anterolateral angles widely angulate; posterior margins straight, diverging posteriad, posterolateral margins acutely angulate, posterolateral margin arcuate, posterior margin shallowly arcuately concave. Disc of pronotum delicately transversely wrinkled. Lateral margin of pronotum carinate, lateroventral lobes tuck, reaching prothoracic katepisternum.

Mesonotum triangular, about 1.5 times as wide as long in mid line. Disc of mesonotum delicately transversely wrinkled.

Left tegmen overlapping right tegmen. Tegmen membranous, with venation distinct, nodal line thick, oblique, veins on corium thickened, to less extent on membrane, elevated. Tegmen about 3.25 times as long as wide at claval apex, about 2.3 times as long as maximal width. Apex of clavus slightly distad of ½ of tegmen length. Costal margin arcuate, anterolateral angle widely arcuate, apex between terminals RP and MP₁, posterior margin slightly arcuate, posterolateral margin broadly rounded, tornus and claval margin arcuate. Costal area narrow, distinctly narrower than costal cell (less than 1/sth), thickened, slightly widened at very base, horizontally carinate, reaching MP_{3+4} . Basal cell about 2.5 times as long as wide, closed posteriorly by stalks MP+CuA and CuA; bScP slightly shifted from main stem R+MP+CuA, reaching nearly to apex of basal cell. Stem R arcuate, subparallel to costal margin, longer than dScP+RA₁ branch; dScP+RA₁ oblique, reaching costal margin posteriad of claval apex: terminal RA₂ slightly longer than terminal ScP+RA₁, subparallel to terminal RP: terminal RP slightly arcuate anteriad, as prolongation of nodal line. Stem MP leaving basal cell separately, arcuate, subparallel to stem R; first fork at nodal line, stalk MP₁₊₂ short, in nodal line, terminal MP₁ thinner than stem MP and MP_{1+2} stalk, straight, shorter than terminal MP_2 ; terminal MP₂ straight, thinner, parallel to terminal MP₁; terminal MP₃₊₄ longest, longer than MP₂, straight, thinner, parallel to terminal MP₂. Stem CuA leaving basal cell separately, arcuate, adhering to claval suture (CuP) in basal portion, then directed mediad, forked at nodal line; terminal CuA₁ in line prolonging stem CuA, straight reaching margin posteriad of tegmen apex; terminal CuA2 straight, subparallel to CuA1. Claval veins Pcu and A1 fused at about $\frac{2}{3}$ of claval suture length, in apical portion of clavus; free portion of A₁ about $\frac{1}{3}$ rd of claval suture length. Veinlet *rp-mp*₁ short, oblique, thickened, placed at nodal line; veinlet mp_{3+4} -cua₁ subperpendicular to CuA, about as long as stalk MP₃₊₄, thickened, placed at nodal line; very short icu intersecting the prolongation of CuP on thickened margin of tornus. Costal cell at widest point about as wide as radial cell; radial cell longer than medial cell, acute at apex, closed by RP stalk, *rp-mp*₁, MP₁ stalk and MP₁₊₂ stalk; medial cell lanceolate, closed obtusely apicad by MP₃₊₄ stalk and mp_{3+4} cua veinlet, slightly narrower than radial cell. Costal cell at widest point as wide as radial cell at widest point. Cell CO elongate, obliquely diamond-shaped; longer than cell C1, about as long as cell



Fig. 7. Evolutionary outline of main Coleorrhyncha lineages with more detailed reference to the Cretaceous Cicadocorinae. Note that Progonocimicinae as recently understood seems not to form a monophyletic lineage.

Table 1

List of species of Progonocimicidae in Cretaceous.

Species	Locality	Geological age
Gakasha calcaridentata gen. et sp. nov.	Noije Bum Hill, Burmese amber	Cenomanian (ca. 99.8 Ma)
Onokhoia onokhoiensis Yu. Popov, 1988	Onokhoy, Transbaikal Krai, Russia	Aptian (125.45–112.6 Ma)
Popovus pygmaeus (Yu. Popov, 1986)	Kobdo aimak, Mongolia	Aptian (125.5–112.6 Ma)
Popovus similis (Yu. Popov, 1986)	Kobdo aimak, Mongolia	Aptian (125.5–112.6 Ma)
Yuripopovia vectense Heads, 2008	Atherfield, Isle of Wight, United Kingdom	Aptian (125.45–122.46 Ma)
llahulgabalus endaidus Szwedo, Azar et Ziadé, 2011	Daychouniyyeh, Lebanon	Barremian (130–125.45 Ma)
Ildavia incompleta Yu. Popov, 1993	Keymer Tile Works, United Kingdom	late Hauterivian (136.4–130 Ma)
Valdiscytina picta Yu. Popov, 1993	Keymer Tile Works, United Kingdom	late Hauterivian (136.4-130 Ma)
Ildavia shcherbakovi Yu. Popov, 1993	Clockhouse Brickworks, United Kingdom	late Hauterivian (136.4-130.0 Ma)
Valdiscytina jarzembowskii Yu. Popov, 1993	Clockhouse Brickworks, United Kingdom	late Hauterivian (136.4–130.0 Ma)
Yuripopovia woottoni Jarzembowski, 1991	Clockhouse Brickworks, United Kingdom	late Hauterivian (136.4–130.0 Ma)

C3a; cell C3 subrectangular, longer than cell C3a and cell C5; cell C5 subrectangular, merely wider apically, about as wide as appendix.

Hindwing with short common terminal of CuP+Pcu.

Procoxa slightly elongate, protrochanter elongate, subconical. Proleg short, profemur shorter than protibia; protibia subquadrangular in cross section, with rows of short setae along margins and at apex; basiprotarsomere shorter than apical one, elongately cup-shaped; apical protarsomere about twice as long as basiprotarsomere, tarsal claws distinct, arolium fan-shaped, wide. Mesoleg short; mesofemur shorter than mesotibia; mesotibia slightly longer than protibia, subquadrangular in cross section, with rows of short setae along margins; mesotarsus two-segmented. Metacoxae conical, adpressed to each other, metatrochanters ring-like. Metatibia elongated, with two movable lateral spurs, first subbasal and second slightly basad of half of metatibia's length, and row of apical teeth and four movable spurs. Lateral spurs narrow basally, rounded at anterior margin, flattened at posterior margin, with row of about 30 small spinules; two external apical spurs almost as long as lateral spurs, slightly flattened at internal margins, provided with row of small spinules ventrally, third and fourth spurs (subexternal ones) shorter, about half of lateral spur length, elongately leaf-shaped, with lateroventral carinations provided with row of six spinules; row of apical teeth between spurs composed of 6 elongate ones; metatarsus long, about 0.8 of metatibia length; basimetatarsomere longer than combined length of mid- and apical metatarsomeres, widening apicad, with row of 11 apical teeth; midmetatarsomere about as long as apical metatarsomere, with oblique row of 11 apical teeth. Tarsal claws distinct, arolium fan-shaped, wide.

Abdomen wide, flattened, 4th abdominal segment the widest, subsequent segments narrowing posteriad, hypopleurites 3rd to 7th distinct, spiracles placed in middle of their length, shifted mediad towards sternites; female 7th sternite large with distinctly arcuate

posterolateral margin, anterior margin straight; hypopleurite 8th (paratergite) distinctly elongate caudally, with tapered process, not exceeding tip of ovipositor, with rows of short setae dispersed along mediolateral carination. Anal tube short, about as long as wide, covered with short setae. Gonoplacs tube-like, elongate, opened ventrally, covering gonapophyses VIII and IX and covered with row of elongate setae; in ventral view exceeding length of anal tube about 3 times.

Gakasha calcaridentata sp. nov.

(Figs 2-5)

LSID: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:62DD87F7-C459-4264-B452-4DED 028D3FD0

Etymology. Specific epithet is derived from Latin calcar (spur) and dens, ~tis (tooth), and refers to row of small denticules on posterior margin of metatibial lateral and lateroapical spurs.

Material. Holotype, female. Burmese amber, oval piece, $19 \times 16 \times 3.5$ mm, weight 0.88 g. The inclusion of the taxon described here was cut out from the lump, polished and preserved as a microscopic slide in Canada balsam. Specimen No. NIGP154576, deposited in Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing.

Dorsal side well displayed, ventral side partly visible, obscured by cracks filled with hard sediment (partly removed) and internal impurities (decay gases) and resin layers. Syninclusions: an unidentified Cixiidae-like planthopper (Hemiptera: Fulgoromorpha); Hymenoptera Apocrita, Hymenoptera Apocrita Parasitica; Coleoptera; Diptera Nematocera.

Diagnosis. Vertex between compound eyes twice as wide as long in mid line. Pronotum in mid line 2.5 times as long as vertex in mid line, about 2.8 times as long as wide. Tegmen membranous, transparent. Stem CuA at base very close to claval suture; segment between first fork of ScP+R and RA₂ fork about $\frac{1}{2}$ as long as stem ScP+R. Cell CO elongately diamond-shaped, more than 3 times as long as wide; cell C3 longer than median cell. Appendix as wide as cell C5. Angle between claval margin and tornus (tangents) ca. 135°. Metatibio-metatarsal formula 2+2+6: 11: 11. Anal tube in female about as long as wide.

Description. Body total 4.16 mm long, 2.32 mm wide. Head transverse, distinctly shorter (0.28 mm) in mid line than wide with compound eyes (1.13 mm), about $\frac{1}{2}$ as wide as pronotum. Lateral ocelli distinct, on the crown, adhering compound eyes, median ocellus adjacent to supraantennal ledge. Pronotum 1.8 mm wide and 0.65 mm long in mid line. Mesonotum about 1.26 mm wide at base and 0.85 mm long in mid line. Tegmen 3.27 mm long, about 1 mm wide at claval apex, maximal width 1.37 mm, veins on corium thickened, carinate, nodal line veins thick, carinate, terminal RP thick, terminals of MP and CuA thinner, not distinctly carinate. Cubital cell very narrow at base then widening to nodal line. Vein Pcu at base adhering claval suture, then diverging, vein A₁ and Pcu+A₁ shifted from claval margin. Margin of tornus arcuate, strengthened at very base by prolongation of CuP (fused with Pcu+A₁?).

Protibia setigerous, 0.55 mm long, external rows of setae longer than internal ones; protarsus two-segmented, 0.37 mm long. Mesotibia 0.84 mm long, mesotarsus 0.37 mm long. Metatibia about 1.1 mm long, with 2 long lateral spurs, first slightly basad of knee, second, slightly apicad of ½ of metatibia length, lateral spurs ca. 0.38 mm long; basimetatarsomere 0.57 mm long, midmetatarsomere 0.28 mm long, apical metatarsomere 0.28 mm long, 0.33 mm long with tarsal claws.

Anal tube of female ca. 0.18 mm long (dorsal view). Ovipositor (portion visible in ventral view, gonoplacs) ca. 0.35 mm long.

4. Discussion

4.1. Taxonomic and nomenclatorial problems within Cicadocorinae

The oldest members of Cicadocorinae come from the Late Triassic deposits of England (Popov et al., 1994), and they were considered to originate from some early Progonocimicinae. Cicadocorinae diversified markedly in the Jurassic and distributed worldwide in the Early Cretaceous (Bechly and Szwedo, 2007; Szwedo, 2011). The subfamily Cicadocorinae comprises the genera Absoluta Becker-Migdisova, 1962, Archicercopis Handlirsch, 1939, Cicadocoris Becker-Migdisova, 1958, Mesocimex Hong, 1983, Ilahulgabalus Szwedo, Azar et Ziadé, 2011, Ildavia Yu. Popov, 1993, Onokhoia Yu. Popov, 1988, Valdiscytina Yu. Popov, 1993 and Yuripopovia Jarzembowski, 1991 (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991; Heads et al., 2018). After synonymisation of most of the species of the genus Olgamartynovia Becker-Migdisova, 1958 by Popov and Shcherbakov (1991), Cicadocoris and Mesocimex became the largest genera of Cicadocorinae. They have been suggested to be synonyms by most of the former studies (Dong et al., 2014; Jiang J.Q. et al., 2016), but this synonymisation seems to be premature. Popov and Shcherbakov (1991) presented sets of characters separating these genera, based mainly on venational characters; however, some species can present transitional combinations. Most of the species ascribed to these two genera are in need of urgent revisionary studies to resolve their taxonomic position. A graphical summary of generic synonymies is presented on figure 6. One of the obstacles hampering the clarification of taxonomy and classification within Cicadocorinae is dissymmetry of some veins on left and right tegmina, and a new approach to this problem, already addressed by Popov and Shcherbakov (1991), is necessary.

The genus Olgamartynovia Becker-Migdisova, 1958, sensu stricto was synonymized under Cicadocoris (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991), with some species moved to Cicadocoris, other to Mesoscytina Hong, 1983, and a few remaining of uncertain position (Fig. 6). Thus the genus Cicadocoris comprises the type species and some five more. Some of the species placed in Cicadocoris should be synonymized, because the differences between left and right tegmina were formerly interpreted as specific ones (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991). A provisional list of species comprised in the genus is as follows: Cicadocoris kuliki Becker-Migdisova, 1958 (type species) stat. resurr. and C. affinis (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., C. arcuatus (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., C. assimilis Dong, Yao et Ren, 2013, C. frater (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr. The taxonomic placement of the following species is not certain, and these are only tentatively placed within the genus Cicadocoris: ?C. admotus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. complexus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. confinis (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. conjunctus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. distans (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. ignoratus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. parallelus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. similis (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. sogjutensis (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. turanicus (Becker-Migdisova, 1958).

Venational characters of *Cicadocoris parvus* Jiang et Huang, 2017 match to the set proposed by Popov and Shcherbakov (1991) for the genus *Mesocimex*, therefore we propose a new combination *Mesocimex parvus* (Jiang et Huang, 2017) comb. nov. Jiang J-Q. and Huang (2017) suggested that *Cicadocoris varians* Dong, Yao et Ren, 2012 is probably a junior synonym of *Mesocimex sinensis* because of taphonomic deformation; therefore we propose a new combination *Mesocimex varians* (Dong, Yao et Ren, 2012) comb. nov. The other species originally described in *Olgamartynovia* merit generic separation: '*Olgamartynovia*' rigida Yu. Popov, 1982 = '*Olgamartynovia*' *paula* Yu. Popov, 1982; '*Olgamartynovia*' *beckermigdisovae* Yu. Popov, 1982, 'Olgamartynovia' nana Yu. Popov, 1982; 'Olgamartynovia' transbaikalica Yu. Popov, 1985; 'Olgamartynovia' distincta Yu. Popov, 1982 as already suggested by Popov and Shcherbakov (1991), and sustained by Dong et al. (2013), and Heads et al. (2018). This action is not followed here, as the original material needs to be revised prior to taxonomic decisions, and more substantiated characters and data on the diversity and disparity within Cicadocorinae should be gathered. Therefore, we propose to conserve the formal generic name 'Olgamartynovia' for these taxa with: ?'Olgamartynovia' rigida Yu. Popov, 1982; ?'Olgamartynovia' beckermigdisovae Yu. Popov, 1982; ?'Olgamartynovia' nana Yu. Popov, 1982; ?'Olgamartynovia' transbaikalica Yu. Popov, 1985 and ?'Olgamartynovia' distincta Yu. Popov, 1982.

The generic name *Mesocimex* Hong, 1983 was resurrected from synonymy for the reasons given in Wang B. et al. (2009). Jiang et al. (2016) proposed to synonymise *Mesocimex lini* Wang, Szwedo et Zhang, 2009 under *Mesocimex brunneus* (Hong, 1983), referring to text-figure 51 (Hong, 1983: p. 66) and figures 1 and 2 of plate 11. However, these photos are a taxon named *Mesocimex sinensis* Hong, 1983. A photograph of *M. brunneus* is presented on plate 11, figure 3. According to this photo *M. brunneus* is characterised by vertex in mid line about as long as wide, and in *M. lini* it is ½ of vertex width; the same ratio is visible in specimens figured by Jiang et al. (2016: Fig. 2A, B, C) as *C. brunneus*. Before this problem will be solved, we propose to treat *Mesocimex lini* Wang, Szwedo et Zhang, 2009 stat. resurr. as a valid name.

A nomenclatorial combination Cicadocoris sinensis (Hong, 1983) was proposed first by Dong et al. (2014); Jiang et al. (2016), referring to the original description of Mesocimex sinensis and fig. 50 in Hong (1983: p. 65), but mistakenly listed fig. 3 of plate 11; the original paper refers to figs. 1 and 2 of plate 11. Jiang et al. (2016) proposed to synonymise Cicadocoris anisomeridis Dong, Yao et Ren, 2014 under C. sinensis. The venation of C. anisomeridis is very close to specimens identified as C. sinensis by Jiang et al. (2016, fig. 3 B, C, D, fig. 4), but according to a photo of *C. anisomeridis* in Dong et al. (2014: fig. 1A–D) the head of it presents a vertex about as long as wide, while in some C. sinensis specimens figured in Jiang et al. (2016, fig. 3A, B) the vertex is about twice as wide as long in mid line. According to Hong (1983) M. sinensis had 'head small', and any other details could be found in descriptions and inferred from photos. Venational characters in Cicadocorinae species seem to be very conservative or could be homoplastic, so probably not very informative for nomenclatorial purposes. Therefore the above mentioned synonyms should be treated with some reservations and they need to be revised. Hence, we propose the names Mesocimex sinensis Hong, 1983 stat. resurr. and Cicadocoris anisomeridis Dong, Yao et Ren, 2014 stat. resurr. as valid untill the material will be checked.

The genus *Cicadocoris* comprises 14 (to 19) currently recognised species level taxa, distributed mainly in Kyrgyzstan and north China in the Early and Middle Jurassic (Dong et al., 2012). The *Mesocimex* species come also from the Early to Middle Jurassic, and mainly occur in Kyrgyzstan, Russia, north China and the United Kingdom (Wang B. et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2013).

4.2. Morphological features and peculiarities of Gakasha gen. nov.

The above described genus *Gakasha* gen. nov. can be placed in Cicadocorinae by the following characters: tegmen with costal area horizontal, base of costal area narrow; stem R continued by branch RP, dScP+RA₁ convex and free portion of A₁ shorter than half of claval suture. It is the second genus known from the fossil resins of the Cretaceous, differing from *llahulgabalus* by characters of the tegmen venation, armature of metatibia and metatarsus. Regarding the tegmen shape and ratio, it resembles the genus *Onokhoia* from

Transbaikalia, but differs in details of venation and metatibia armature. Gakasha gen. nov. is for the moment the most completely preserved specimen, presenting much more details, often not available from compression/impression fossils. The newly described genus presents a number of peculiar features, not known up to date among Cicadocorinae and Progonocimicidae. For the first time, the lateral ocelli are clearly observed – Popoy and Shcherbakov (1991) mentioned that ocelli were untraceable among known fossils, with supposed position at anterior margin of the head. In Gakasha gen. nov., ocelli are placed on the crown, adhering compound eyes, but more posteriad, not on the margin. In Peloridiidae, ocelli are small, sometimes inconspicuous, placed at anterior margin of the head, close to compound eye (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1996; Spangenberg et al., 2013). Another feature of Gakasha gen. nov. is presence of 3-segmented antennae, with terminal segment slightly incrassate, tapering apicad. This character is characteristic for Peloridioidea Breddin, 1897; Progonocimicoidea are characteristically with antennae 4-7 segmented, setaceous or incrassate (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991). The flagellum of the antenna in Gakasha gen. nov. is annulate, with seven recognisable annuli, each provided with some setae, shorter at base, but distinctly longer in apical annuli, with the longest setae on the terminal one. In Hackeriella veitchi, the scapus is longer than pedicel (in Gakasha gen. nov. it is opposite, scapus is short, and pedicel distinctly longer) and flagellum not annulated, and lacks setae (Spangenberg et al., 2013); a similar, non-annulate, and lacking distinct setae, flagellum is present in other Peloridiidae (Burckhardt, 2009). The postclypeus and anteclypeus in Gakasha gen, nov, seem to be fused, but separated by a groove. The postclypeus is more inflated, anteclypeus convex and loral plates wide, resembling the situation present in Mesocimex. The general venation pattern of Gakasha gen. nov. is typical of Cicadocorinae; it differs clearly from Ilahulgabalus by the shape of the nodal line and width of appendix; the nodal line of Gakasha gen. nov. is formed by terminal RP, oblique veinlet $rp-mp_1$, stalk of MP₁, stalk of MP₁₊₂, stalk of MP₃₊₄; veinlet mp_{3+4} -cua₁, stalk CuA₂ and veinlet icu. Such a model is unique among Cicadocorinae genera; in Onokhoia, the anterior portion of the nodal line is formed by fused veins RP and MP₁; in the other genera by terminal MP₁. Two lateral movable spurs are present in Mesocimex and Cicadocoris, at least in some species ascribed to the genus Mesocimex (M. lini stat. resurr.); in this species, the base of the spur seems to be distinctly wider than in Gakasha gen. nov. and there are no elongated spurs/teeth at the metatibial apex (Wang B. et al., 2009). The genus Onokhoia is characteristically lacking lateral movable spurs; in Ilahulgabalus is there a single lateral spine and four of the apical teeth are enlarged. Four distinct lateral (movable) spurs, provided with row of denticules, at the tip of the metatibia seem to be a unique feature of Gakasha gen. nov. The basimetatarsomere and midmetatarsomere in *Gakasha* gen, nov, are provided with an apical row of teeth. similar to most Cicadocorinae - in Ilahulgabalus, the basimetatarsomere is provided with a row of small apical teeth and the midmetatarsomere is spatulate, elongate in the middle, with a lateral tooth (or two lateral teeth). The midmetatarsomere is about as long as the apical metatarsomere in Gakasha gen. nov., while in Ilahulgabalus it is shorter. These differences could be related to the jumping abilities of these Cicadocorinae. Strongly armed metatibiae, with long lateral spurs and four apical spurs, together with long tarsus with apical teeth on basi- and midmetatarsomeres of Gakasha calcaridentata gen. et sp. nov. infer advanced jumping abilities in this species (Burrows, 2007a,b, 2009b; Bonsignori et al., 2013; Clemente et al., 2017). A single apical metatibial spur is a unique feature of the planthopper family Delphacidae Leach, 1815 (Fulgoroidea Latreille, 1807) (Asche, 1985; Wilson, 2005; Bartlett et al., 2014). According to Metcalfe (1969), it appears to have developed from an apical tibial spine, and represents a sequence of primitive to advanced states, i.e., thick and spike-like to thin and flattened, which is also reflected in the ontogeny of delphacids, and is of evolutionary and classification importance (Wilson and McPherson, 1981). The exact role of this spur during jumping is not studied vet, but it could be assumed that it prevents legs from slipping on the surface when preparing to jump on the one hand. and on the other, could serve as part of a sensory mechanism responsible for jump mechanics and co-ordination. Membranized episterna in front of the metacoxae and legs modified for jumping, with apical pectens of macrosetae bearing teeth on the metatibia and on enlarged basi- and midmetatarsomeres, were postulated as symplesiomorphies of Coleorrhyncha (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1996). Cicadocorinae are believed to be the best hoppers among the Coleorrhyncha, their decline in the mid-Cretaceous being synchronous with the rise of another groups of small, jumping Hemiptera (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1996), the modern planthoppers (Fulgoroidea Latreille, 1807) and leafhoppers (Cicadelloidea Latreille, 1802); probably also the first jumping psyllids (Psylloidea Latreille, 1807). The modern Peloridiidae are also able to jump (Burrows et al., 2007), but their metatibia lack any lateral spurs or enlarged apical teeth, so the jumping mechanism in Cicadocorinae was probably different. The only family in which the apical movable spur on the metatibia is developed is Delphacidae: alas the exact jumping mechanics of these planthoppers is still unknown. Data about jumping abilities in representatives of Fulgoromorpha Evans, 1946 (Burrows, 2009a,b, 2014a,b) and Cicadomorpha Evans, 1946 froghoppers (Burrows, 2003, 2006a,b; Gorb, 2004; Sutton and Burrows, 2010), leafhoppers (Burrows, 2007a,b) and treehoppers (Burrows, 2013) suggest some similarities and differences in structure of body parts engaged in jumping, power amplification mechanisms, neural control and jumping mechanisms (Bräunig and Burrows, 2008; Burrows, 2006a,b, 2007c, 2009b, 2010, 2013, 2014a,b; Burrows and Bräunig, 2010; Burrows and Sutton, 2013; Burrows et al., 2008, 2011, 2014; Siwanowicz and Burrows, 2017; Sutton and Burrows, 2010). In recent Peloridiidae, the hind coxae are set more closely together, and may touch each other towards their most dorsal medial edges where some tubercles (microtrichia) are present (Burrows et al., 2007). They are not tightly linked together by attachment devices in contrast to the situation in froghoppers (Gorb, 2004; Burrows, 2006b) or leafhoppers (Burrows, 2007a). The metacoxae of Cicadocorinae are tightly placed close to each other, similar to the situation in Cicadomorpha, and probably present some attachments. In the Heteroptera Latreille, 1810 (Saldidae Amyot et Audinet-Serville, 1843 and Hahn, 1831) two distinct jumping strategies are used (Burrows, 2009a; Burrows and Dorosenko, 2017), and it seems that in the case of Cicadocorinae, in which the hindwings are well developed, but metatibiae not extremely elongated, the addition of wing movements to the propulsive movements of the legs has made their jumping performance more effective.

Jumping ability is often treated as a single character (Hennig, 1981; Shcherbakov and Popov, 2002); however, this ability was actually achieved by a combination of many structural modifications of the legs and thorax, including those of muscles, muscle attachments, and associated sclerites involving energy storage and the locking system, among others (Gorb, 2004). Ogawa and Yoshizawa (2017), after detailed studies of metathoracic muscular characters suggested that almost all apomorphic conditions associated with jumping ability evolved independently in Cicadomorpha and Fulgoromorpha. Thus, regarding particular morphological structures in Cicadocorinae, i.e. closed metacoxae, elongated metatibiae, presence of apical spurs preventing slipping, elongate tarsal segments with apical rows of teeth, we can also assume that jumping mechanisms and adaptation details in Cicadocorinae could differ from those observed in modern hemipterans.

The abdomen of Gakasha gen. nov. differs from females of other Cicadocorinae with the $3^{r\tilde{d}}$ abdominal segment being longer laterally than the following segments 4th to 7th (the abdominal segments are homonomous in lateral length). In this, it more resembles the situation in the female abdomens of modern Peloridiidae (Sweet, 1996; Burckhardt, 2009) with the first two abdominal segments narrowed and diminished. Distinct hypopleurites (3rd to 7th) with spiracle placed mediad and close to the margin also resemble the situation in Peloridiidae. A unique feature of *Gakasha* gen. nov. is the enlarged female 7th abdominal sternite, covering the base of the ovipositor, as it is observable among Cicadellidae. Another peculiar feature of Gakasha gen. nov. is the presence of the enlarged 8th hypopleurites (paratergites) forming the elongate, tapered caudad processes. An ovipositor with gonoplacs fused dorsally, covering the 8th and 9th gonapophyses, with a ventral slit, is peculiar and also resembles more the so-called sawcase present in Cicadellidae. In known Cicadocorinae, the 9th abdominal segment of the females seems to be rather barrelshaped, with obtuse posterior margin; it is small in Gakasha gen. nov., flattened, only with posterolateral angles visible. Karabasiidae have a 9th abdominal segment broadly emarginate apically, embracing the base of anal tube; in Hoploridiidae, the 9th segment is wider than long, with distinctly truncate lateral lobes (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991). Unlike in Peloridiidae, in Gakasha gen. nov. the base of anal tube of the female is not concealed by lateral lobes of the 9th abdominal segment. The details of the genital and paragenital structures of Cicadocorinae are still poorly known, but seem to be variable, and could provide important phylogenetic information.

Morphology-based cladistic analyses, with revised morphological observations and including Coleorrhyncha, supported the relationship of Coleorrhyncha+Heteroptera (Friedemann et al., 2014) as clade Prosorrhyncha (Szwedo, 2018); this opinion was also supported by molecular phylogenetic studies based on 18S rRNA (Wheeler et al., 1993; Campbell et al., 1995; Ouvrard et al., 2000) and multiple gene regions (Cryan and Urban, 2012). Recent phylogenomic study of Hexapoda that incorporated data from >1400 gene regions (Misof et al., 2014) casted doubt on this view. A sister group relationship between Coleorrhyncha and Heteroptera was also refuted by recent mitochondrial phylogenomic analyses (Cui et al., 2013; Wang Y. et al., 2015). Moreover, recent studies of wing base structure (Yoshizawa et al., 2017) suggested that Coleorrhyncha could be more closely related to Cicadomorpha and Fulgoromorpha than to Heteroptera. Therefore, an apparent conflict between various morphological and molecular data and their interpretations has arisen in the placement of Coleorrhyncha. Regarding the fossil record of the group, the Coleorrhyncha appeared in the Late Permian, as descendants of Ingruidae (Fig. 7) the group close to highly diversifying early Cicadomorpha during the Permian (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1996; Shcherbakov and Popov, 2002; Szwedo, 2018). Coleorrhyncha is monophyletic lineage, divided into two main clades. The family Progonocimicidae seems not to be a monophylum, contrary to the opinion of Dong et al. (2014), based on a very limited number of taxa examined. Cicadocorinae seems to form a single lineage, but the status of Progonocimicinae is not clear. Placement of the genus Pelorisca Popov et Shcherbakov, 1991 in this subfamily is controversial (Popov and Shcherbakov, 1991). The family Karabasiidae seems to be the monophyletic sister group to Hoploridiidae + Peloridiidae (Fig. 7). The ancient history of the Coleorrhyncha, together with the high specialization and relictual character of its recent representatives could be one of the sources of incongruences in phylogenetic proposals.

4.3. Distributional pattern of Cretaceous Cicadocorinae

The Cretaceous representatives of Cicadocorinae present interesting distributional pattern, with Ildavia, Yuripopovia and Valdiscytina from the Lower Cretaceous deposits of the United Kingdom, Popovus from the Lower Cretaceous of western Mongolia. Onokhoia from the Lower Cretaceous of Transbaikalia (Russia), and two genera from more southern latitudes – *llahulgabalus* from the Lower Cretaceous amber of Lebanon and Gakasha gen. nov. from mid-Cretaceous Burmese amber (Table 1; Figs. 1 and 7). According to palaeoclimatic reconstructions for the Early Cretaceous (Skelton, 2003; Chumakov, 2004), Cicadocorinae were mainly distributed in the temperate warm humid zone (Hauterivian to Aptian), except Ilahulgabalus, present much more south in the tropical equatorial dry zone in the lower Barremian (Maksoud et al., 2017), but with the climate more mild and humid (Azar et al., 2003, 2010). Gakasha gen. nov. dates from earliest Cenomanian times, from the equatorial humid zone, but according to palaeotopographic data, with arid influence caused by elevated areas nearby (Skelton, 2003; Wu et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). By the mid Cretaceous, extensive outgassing of carbon dioxide due to magmatic eruptions at oceanic ridges and vast outpourings of lava and volcanic activity, rising sea level (lowering albedo), and the continuing breakup of Pangea had led to long-term global warming (~20°-22 °C). Therefore the global climate was one of the warmest in Earth's history (Hay and Floegel, 2012; Hay, 2017). The data from palaeoclimatic reconstructions (Chumakov, 1995, 2004; Chumakov et al., 1995; Zhou et al., 2012) suggest that the Equatorial-Tropical arid belts associated with the descending limbs of the Hadley cells were extensive in the Early Cretaceous, becoming more restricted in the warmer Late Cretaceous, and that vegetation spread to warm high latitudes and cool low latitudes in a warm climate with a high level of CO_2 (Zhou et al., 2012), similar to the pattern of modern vegetation (Bonan, 2008). The find of Cicadocorinae in Burmese amber put a new question to the reconstruction of Burmese amber forests biota, as the Cicadocorinae more probably inhabited rather humid environments. Palaeoenvironmental details and palaeoecological reconstructions for the Burmese amber forest have not been fully elaborated yet. Palynological study suggests a humid warm temperate climate (Cruickshank and Ko, 2003) for resin production and amber deposition. Both pollen and plant fossils identified so far, suggest that the amberiferous forest was placed in the equatorial floristic realm (Vakhrameev, 1988).

5. Concluding remarks

Summary of taxonomic changes: Mesocimex lini Wang, Szwedo et Zhang, 2009 stat. resurr. from Cicadocoris brunneus (Hong, 1983); Cicadocoris kuliki Becker-Migdisova, 1958 stat resurr. from Mesocimex kuliki (Becker-Migdisova, 1958); Cicadocoris affinis (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr. from Mesocimex affinis (Yu. Popov, 1982); Cicadocoris arcuatus (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr. from Mesocimex arcuatus (Yu. Popov, 1982). Cicadocoris frater (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr. from Mesocimex frater (Yu. Popov, 1982). Incertae sedis taxa within the genus Cicadocoris: ?C. admotus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ? C. complexus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. confinis (Yu. Popov, 1982), ? C. conjunctus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. distans (Yu. Popov, 1982), ? C. ignoratus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. parallelus (Yu. Popov, 1982), ? C. similis (Yu. Popov, 1982), ?C. sogjutensis (Yu. Popov, 1982), ? C. turanicus (Becker-Migdisova, 1958). Conserved collective 'Olgamartynovia' with 'Olgamartynovia' rigida Yu. Popov, 1982; 'Olgamartynovia' beckermigdisovae Yu. Popov, 1982; 'Olgamartynovia' nana Yu. Popov, 1982; 'Olgamartynovia' transbaikalica Yu. Popov, 1985 and 'Olgamartynovia' distincta Yu. Popov, 1982. Incertae sedis taxa within the genus Mesocimex: ?M. admotus (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., ?M. complexus (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., ?M. confinis (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., ?M. conjunctus (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., ?M. distans (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., ?M. ignoratus (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., ?M. parallelus (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., ?M. parvus (Jiang et Huang, 2017) comb. nov., ?M. similis (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., ?M. sogjutensis (Yu. Popov, 1982) stat. resurr., ?M. turanicus (Becker-Migdisova, 1958) stat. resurr., ?M. varians (Dong, Yao et Ren, 2012) comb. nov.

Gakasha calcaridentata gen. et sp. nov. described above is the first representative of Cicadocorinae: Progonocimicidae found in Burmese amber. It is the second know taxon in amber, the latest known representative of the family, and the second known cicadocorine from the equatorial belt. *Gakasha calcaridentata* gen. et sp. nov. is very peculiar in morphological features, presenting a high level of morphological specialization. Apart generally uniform body structure and venation shared by most of Cicadocorinae, the new taxon presents specialized form in the antennae, highly modified armature of the hind legs (probably related to its jumping ability), and specialized female genital structures (with tubular ovipositor). *Gakasha calcaridentata* gen. et sp. nov., with its morphological peculiarities also casts some light on the taxonomic and nomenclatorial problems within Cicadocorinae, giving new pointers to the disparity of Cicadocorinae.

The relationships within the group and systematics of Cicadocorinae remain obscure. The venational characters of the genera and species seem to be reliable only to some extent, so new characters and a new approach to the available data are necessary to resolve nomenclatorial and systematic problems within Cicadocorinae and Progonocimicidae. Finding a well-preserved specimen entombed in amber gives a new opportunity to better understand the evolutionary history of the group including its diversity and morphological disparity.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41702018, 41790452), State Key Laboratory of Palaeobiology and Stratigraphy, Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, CAS (No. 173112) and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2017M610955) awarded to TJ, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41572010, 41622201, 41688103) and the Strategic Priority Research Program (B) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB26000000) awarded to BW, and it was also supported by Chinese Academy of Sciences President's International Fellowship Initiative (No. 2017VBA0024) awarded to JS. We wish to thank the reviewers and Dr. Edmund A. Jarzembowski for their valuable comments on our paper. Special thanks to Mr. Błażej Bojarski (Museum of Amber Inclusions UG) and Professor Dany Azar (Lebanese University) for their generous help and assistance during preparation of the amber specimen.

References

- Amyot, C.J.B., Audinet-Serville, J.G., 1843. Deuxième partie. Homoptères. Homoptera Latr. Histoire Naturelle des insectes. Hemiptères 1843. Librairie encyclopédique de Roret, Paris, pp. 1–676.
- Asche, M., 1985. Zur Phylogenie der Delphacidae Leach, 1815 (Homoptera Cicadina Fulgoromorpha). Marburger Entomologische Publikationen 2 vii+1–910.
- Azar, D., Nel, A., Gèze, R., 2003. Use of Lebanese amber inclusions in paleoenvironmental reconstruction, dating and paleobiogeography. Acta zoologica cracoviensia 46 (suppl. – Fossil Insects), 393–398.
- Azar, D., Gèze, R., Acra, F., 2010. Lebanese amber. In: Penney, D. (Ed.), Biodiversity of fossils in amber from the major world deposits. Siri Scientific Press, Manchester, pp. 271–298.
- Bartlett, C.R., O'Brien, L.B., Wilson, S.W., 2014. A review of the planthoppers (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea) of the United States. Memoirs of the American Entomological Society 50, 1–287.

- Bechly, G., Szwedo, J., 2007. Coleorrhyncha: moss bugs. In: Martill, D.M., Bechly, G., Loveridge, R.F. (Eds.), The Crato fossil beds of Brazil: window into an ancient World. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 313–317.
- Becker-Migdisova, E.E., 1958. Novye iskopaemye ravnokrylye. Chast' 1 (New fossil Homoptera. Part 1). Materialy k Osnovam Paleontologii 2, 57–67.
- Bonan, G.B., 2008. Forests and climate change: forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests. Science 320, 1444–1449.
- Bonsignori, G., Stefanini, C., Scarfogliero, U., Mintchev, S., Benelli, G., Dario, P., 2013. The green leafhopper, *Cicadella viridis* (Hemiptera, Auchenorrhyncha, Cicadellidae), jumps with near-constant acceleration. Journal of Experimental Biology 216, 1270–1279.
- Bourgoin, T., Wang, R.R., Asche, M., Hoch, H., Soulier-Perkins, A., Stroiński, A., Szwedo, J., 2015. From micropterism to hyperpterism: recognition strategy and standardized homology–driven terminology of the forewing venation patterns in planthoppers (Hemiptera: Fulgoromorpha). Zoomorphology 134, 63–77.
- Bräunig, P., Burrows, M., 2008. Neurons controlling jumping in froghopper insects. Journal of Comparative Neurology 507, 1065–1075.
- Breddin, G., 1897. Hemipteren. In: Michaelsen, W. (Ed.), Ergebnisse der Hamburger magalhaensischen Sammelreise, 1892/93, 2 (4), vols. 1–36. L. Friederichsen & Co, Hamburg, 2 pl.
- Broly, P., Maillet, S., Ross, A.J., 2015. The first terrestrial isopod (Crustacea: Isopoda: Oniscidea) from Cretaceous Burmese amber of Myanmar. Cretaceous Research 55, 220–228.
- Burckhardt, D., 2009. Taxonomy and phylogeny of the Gondwanan moss bugs or Peloridiidae (Hemiptera, Coleorrhyncha). Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 56, 173–235.
- Burckhardt, D., Bochud, E., Damgaard, J., Gibbs, G.W., Hartung, V., Larivière, M.C., Wyniger, D., Zürcher, I., 2011. A review of the moss bug genus *Xenophyes* (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha: Peloridiidae) from New Zealand: systematics and biogeography. Zootaxa 2923, 1–26.
- Burrows, M., 2003. Biomechanics: froghopper insects leap to new heights. Nature 424, 509.
- Burrows, M., 2006a. Jumping performance of froghopper insects. Journal of Experimental Biology 209, 4607–4621.
- Burrows, M., 2006b. Morphology and action of the hind leg joints controlling jumping in froghopper insects. Journal of Experimental Biology 209, 4622–4637.
- Burrows, M., 2007a. Kinematics of jumping in leafhopper insects (Hemiptera, Auchenorrhyncha, Cicadellidae). Journal of Experimental Biology 210, 3579–3589.
- Burrows, M., 2007b. Anatomy of the hind legs and actions of their muscles during jumping in leafhopper insects. Journal of Experimental Biology 210, 3590–3600.
- Burrows, M., 2007c. Neural control and coordination of jumping in froghopper insects. Journal of Neurophysiology 97, 320–330.
- Burrows, M., 2009a. Jumping strategies and performance in shore bugs (Hemiptera, Heteroptera, Saldidae). Journal of Experimental Biology 212, 106–115.
- Burrows, M., 2009b. Jumping performance of planthopper insects (Hemiptera, Issidae). Journal of Experimental Biology 212, 2844–2855.
- Burrows, M., 2010. Energy storage and synchronisation of hind leg movements during jumping in planthopper insects (Hemiptera, Issidae). Journal of Experimental Biology 213, 469–478.
- Burrows, M., 2013. Jumping mechanisms of treehopper insects (Hemiptera, Auchenorrhyncha, Membracidae). Journal of Experimental Biology 216, 788–799.
- Burrows, M., 2014a. Jumping mechanisms in dictyopharid planthoppers (Hemiptera, Dicytyopharidae). Journal of Experimental Biology 217, 402–413.
- Burrows, M., 2014b. Jumping mechanisms in flatid planthoppers (Hemiptera, Flatidae). Journal of Experimental Biology 217, 2590–2600.
- Burrows, M., Bräunig, P., 2010. Actions of motor neurons and leg muscles in jumping by planthopper insects (Hemiptera, Issidae). Journal of Comparative Neurology 518, 1349–1369.
- Burrows, M., Dorosenko, M., 2017. Jumping performance of flea hoppers and other mirid bugs (Hemiptera, Miridae). Journal of Experimental Biology 220, 1606–1617.
- Burrows, M., Sutton, G.P., 2013. Interacting gears synchronise propulsive leg movements in a jumping insect. Science 341, 1254–1256.
- Burrows, M., Hartung, V., Hoch, H., 2007. Jumping behaviour in a Gondwanan relict insect (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha: Peloridiidae). Journal of Experimental Biology 210, 3311–3318.
- Burrows, M., Shaw, S.R., Sutton, G.P., 2008. Resilin and chitinous cuticle form a composite structure for energy storage in jumping by froghopper insects. BMC Biology 6 (41), 1–16.
- Burrows, M., Borycz, J.A., Shaw, S.R., Elvin, C.M., Meinertzhagen, I.A., 2011. Antibody labelling of resilin in energy stores for jumping in plant sucking insects. PLoS One 6 (12), e28456, 1–7.
- Burrows, M., Meinertzhagen, I.A., Bräunig, P., 2014. Slowly contracting muscles power the rapid jumping of planthopper insects (Hemiptera, Issidae). Cell and Tissue Research 355, 213–222.
- Campbell, B.C., Steffen-Campbell, J.D., Sorensen, J.T., Gill, R.J., 1995. Paraphyly of Homoptera and Auchenorrhyncha inferred from 18S rDNA nucleotide sequences. Systematic Entomology 20, 175–194.
- Chumakov, N.M., 1995. Problemy teploï biosfery (The problem of the warm biosphere). Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation 3, 205–215.
- Chumakov, N.M., 2004. Climatic zones and climate of the Cretaceous period. In: Semikhatov, M.A., Chumakov, N.M. (Eds.), Climate in the epochs of major biospheric transformations, Transactions of the Geological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, vol. 550, pp. 105–123 (Moscow, Nauka [in Russian]).

- Chumakov, N.M., Zharkov, M.A., Herman, A.B., Doludenko, M.P., Kalandadze, N.N., Lebedev, E.L., Rautian, A.S., 1995. Climatic zones in the middle of the Cretaceous period. Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation 3, 3–14.
- Clemente, C.J., Goetzke, H.H., Bullock, J.M.R., Sutton, G.P., Burrows, M., Federle, W., 2017. Jumping without slipping: leafhoppers (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) possess special tarsal structures for jumping from smooth surfaces. Journal of the Royal Society Interface 14 (130), 20170022, 1–11.
- Cruickshank, R.D., Ko, K., 2003. Geology of an amber locality in the Hukawng Valley, Northern Myanmar. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 21, 441–455.
- Cryan, J.R., Urban, J.M., 2012. Higher–level phylogeny of the insect order Hemiptera: is Auchenorrhyncha really paraphyletic? Systematic Entomology 37, 7–21. Cui, Y., Xie, Q., Hua, J., Dang, K., Zou, J., Liu, X., Wang, G., Yu, X., Bu, W., 2013. Phy-
- Cui, Y., Xie, Q., Hua, J., Dang, K., Zou, J., Liu, X., Wang, G., Yu, X., Bu, W., 2013. Phylogenomics of Hemiptera (Insecta: Paraneoptera) based on mitochondrial genomes. Systematic Entomology 38, 233–245.
- Dong, Q.P., Yao, Y.Z., Dong, R., 2012. A new species of Progonocimicidae (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha) from northeastern China. Zootaxa 3495, 73–78.
- Dong, Q.P., Yao, Y.Z., Ren, D., 2013. A new species of Progonocimicidae (Hemiptera, Coleorrhyncha) from the Middle Jurassic of China. Alcheringa. An Australasian Journal of Palaeontology 37, 31–37.
 Dong, Q.P., Yao, Y.Z., Ren, D., 2014. New fossil Progonocimicidae (Hemiptera: Coleor-
- Dong, Q.P., Yao, Y.Z., Ren, D., 2014. New fossil Progonocimicidae (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha: Progonocimicoidea) from the Upper Mesozoic of northeastern China, with a phylogeny of Coleorrhyncha. Systematic Entomology 39, 773–782.
- Evans, J.W., 1946. A natural classification of leaf-hoppers (Jassoidea, Homoptera) Part 1. External morphology and systematic position. Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London 96 (3), 47–60.
- Evans, J.W., 1982. A review of present knowledge of the family Peloridiidae and new genera and new species from New Zealand and New Caledonia (Hemiptera: Insecta). Records of the Australian Museum 34, 381–406.
- Friedemann, K., Spangenberg, R., Yoshizawa, K., Beutel, R.G., 2014. Evolution of attachment structure in the highly diverse Acercaria (Hexapoda). Cladistics 30, 170–201.
- Gorb, S.N., 2004. The jumping mechanism of cicada Cercopis vulnerata (Auchenorrhyncha, Cercopidae): skeleton-muscle organisation, frictional surfaces, and inverse-kinematic model of leg movements. Arthropod Structure & Development 33, 201–220.
- Hahn, C.W., 1831. Die wanzenartigen Insecten: getreu nach der Natur abgebildet und beschrieben, vol. 1 vi+236 pp.
- Handlirsch, A., 1906–1908. Die fossilen Insekten und die Phylogenie der rezenten Formen. Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig pp. ix+1430+51 plates (1906: 1–672; 1907: 673–1120; 1908: 1121–1430), 51 taf. (1906: plates 1–36; 1907: plates 37–51).
- Handlirsch, A., 1939. Neue Untersuchungen über die fossilen Insekten mit Ergänzungen und Nachträgen sowie Aublicken auf phylogenetische, palaeogeographische und allgemein biologische Probleme. II. Teil. Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien 49, 1–240.
- Hay, W.W., 2017. Toward understanding Cretaceous climate—an updated review. Science in China Earth Sciences 60, 5–19.
- Hay, W.W., Floegel, S., 2012. New thoughts about the Cretaceous climate and oceans. Earth Sciences Review 115, 262–272.
- Heads, S.W., Maehr, M.D., Eades, D.C., 2018. Coleorrhyncha Species File Online, Version 5.0/5.0. http://coleorrhyncha.speciesfile.org/.
- Heine, C., Müller, R., 2005. Late Jurassic rifting along the Australian North West Shelf: margin geometry and spreading ridge configuration. Australian Journal of Earth Sciences 52, 27–39.
- Hennig, W., 1981. Insect phylogeny. Translated and edited by A.C. Pont, revisionary notes by Dieter Schlee and 9 collaborators. John Wiley and Sons, New York. xxii+495 pp.
- Hong, Y.C., 1983. Bei fang zhong zhu luo shi kun chong hua shi. [Middle Jurassic fossil insects in North China]. Geological Publishing House, Beijing iii+223 pp+28 plates.
- Jarzembowski, E.A., 1991. New insects from the Weald Clay of the Weald. Proceedings of the Geological Association 102, 93–108.
- Jiang, J.Q., Huang, D.Y., 2017. New species of *Cicadocoris* (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha: Progonocimicidae) from mid–Jurassic deposits in northeastern China. European Journal of Entomology 114, 355–364.
- Jiang, J.Q., Cai, C.Y., Huang, D.Y., 2016. Progonocimicids (Hemiptera, Coleorrhyncha) from the Middle Jurassic Haifanggou Formation, western Liaoning, northeast China support stratigraphic correlation with the Daohugou beds. Alcheringa. An Australasian Journal of Palaeontology 40, 53–61.
- Jiang, T., Szwedo, J., Wang, B., 2018. A giant fossil Mimarachnidae planthopper from the mid—Cretaceous Burmese amber (Hemiptera, Fulgoromorpha). Cretaceous Research 89, 183—190.
- Kania, I., Wang, B., Szwedo, J., 2015. *Dicranoptycha* Osten Sacken, 1860 (Diptera, Limoniidae) from the earliest Cenomanian Burmese amber. Cretaceous Research 52, 522–530.
- Klimaszewski, S.M., Popov, Yu.A., 1993. New fossil hemipteran insects from southern England (Hemiptera: Psyllina + Coleorrhyncha). Annals of the Upper Silesian Museum Entomology 1 (Suppl), 13–36.
- Kukalová-Peck, J., 1991. Chapter 6: Fossil history and the evolution of hexapod structures. In: Naumann, I.D. (Ed.), The Insects of Australia, a textbook for students and research workers, second ed.vol. 1. Melbourne University Press, Melbourne, pp. 141–179.
- Latreille, P.A., 1802. Histoire naturelle, générale et particulière des Crustacés et des Insectes. Ouvrage faisant suite à l'Histoire Naturelle, générale particulière, composée par Leclerc de Buffon et rédigé par C.S. Sonnini, membre de plusieurs Sociétés savantes 3, Familles naturelles et genres. Paris: Dufart. i–xii, 13–467 pp.

- Latreille, P.A., 1807. Sectio secunda. Familia quarta. Cicadariae. Cicadaries. In: Genera crustaceorum et insectorum: secundum ordinem natrualem in familias disposita, iconibus exemplisque plurimis explicata, vol. 3. Amand Koenig, Paris, pp. 1–258.
- Latreille, P.A., 1810. Considérations générales sur l'ordre naturel des animaux composant les classes des Crustacés, des Arachnides, et des Insectes. Avec un tableau méthodique de leurs genres, disposés en familles. F. Schoell, Paris, 444 pp.
- Leach, W.E., 1815. Entomology. The Edinburgh Encyclopedia; conducted by David Brewster, vol. 9, pp. 57–172.
- Li, G.J., Wu, C.-H., Rodríguez-López, J.P., Yi, H.S., Xia, G.Q., Wagreich, M., 2018. Mid-Cretaceous aeolian desert systems in the Yunlong area of the Lanping Basin, China: implications for palaeoatmosphere dynamics and paleoclimatic change in East Asia. Sedimentary Geology 364, 121–140.
- Linnaeus, C., 1758. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. Editio decima, reformata. Laurentii Salvii., Holmiæ [4] + 824 pp.
- Maksoud, S., Azar, D., Granier, B., Gezé, R., 2017. New data on the age of the Lower Cretaceous amber outcrops of Lebanon. Palaeoworld 26, 331–338.
- Metcalfe, J.R., 1969. Studies on the biology of the sugar-cane pest Saccharosydne saccharivora (Westw.) (Hom., Delphacidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 59, 393-408.
- Misof, B., Liu, S., Meusemann, K., Peters, R.S., Donath, A., Mayer, C., Frandsen, P.B., Ware, J., Flouri, T., Beutel, R.G., Niehuis, O., Petersen, M., Izquierdo-Carrasco, F., Wappler, T., Rust, J., Aberer, A.J., Aspöck, U., Aspöck, H., Bartel, D., Blanke, A., Berger, S., Böhm, A., Buckley, T.R., Calcott, B., Chen, J.Q., Friedrich, F., Fukui, M., Fujita, M., Greve, C., Grobe, P., Gu, S.C., Huang, Y., Jermiin, L.S., Kawahara, A.Y., Krogmann, L., Kubiak, M., Lanfear, R., Letsch, H., Li, Y.Y., Li, Z.Y., Li, J.G., Lu, H.R., Machida, R., Mashimo, Y., Kapli, P., McKenna, D.D., Meng, G.L., Nakagaki, Y., Navarrete–Heredia, J.L., Ott, M., Ou, Y.X., Pass, G., Podsiadlowski, L., Pohl, H., von Reumont, B.M., Schütte, K., Sekiya, K., Shimizu, S., Ślipiński, A., Stamatakis, A., Song, W.H., Su, X., Szucsich, N.U., Tan, M.H., Tan, X.M., Tang, M., Tang, J.B., Timelthaler, G., Tomizuka, S., Trautwein, M., Tong, X.L., Uchifune, T., Walz, M.G., Wiegmann, B.M., Wilbrandt, J., Wipfler, B., Wong, T.K.F., Wu, Q., Wu, G.X., Xie, Y.L., Yang, S.Z., Qing Yang, Q., Yeates, D.K., Yoshizawa, K., Zhang, Q., Zhang, R., Zhang, W.W., Zhang, Y., Zhao, J., Zhou, C.R., Zhou, L.L., Ziesmann, T., Zou, S.J., Li, Y.R.3, Xu, X., Zhang, Y., Yang, H.M., Wang, J., Wang, J., Kjer, K.M., Zhou, X., 2014. Phylogenomics resolves the timing and pattern of insect evolution. Science 346, 763–767.
- Myers, J.G., China, W.E., 1929. The systematic position of the Peloridiidae as elucidated by a further study of the external anatomy of *Hemiodoecus leai* China. Annals and Magazine of Natural History Series 3 (10), 282–294.
- Nel, A., Prokop, J., Nel, P., Grandcolas, P., Huang, D.Y., Roques, P., Guilbert, E., Dostál, O., Szwedo, J., 2012. Traits and evolution of wing venation pattern in paraneopteran insects. Journal of Morphology 273, 480–506.
- Ogawa, N., Yoshizawa, K., 2017. Morphological dissection of behavior: thoracic musculature clarifies independent development of jumping mechanisms between sister groups, planthoppers and leafhoppers (Insecta: Hemiptera: Auchenorrhyncha). Organisms Diversity & Evolution 17, 521–530.
- Ouvrard, D., Campbell, B.C., Bourgoin, T., Chan, K.L., 2000. 18S rRNA secondary structure and phylogenetic position of Peloridiidae (Insecta, Hemiptera). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 16, 403–417.
- Özdikmen, H., Demir, E., 2007. New substitute names for three preoccupied homopteran genera: *Deltometopus* Williams, 1977, *Mariaella* Szelegiewicz, 1961 and *Progonus* Popov, 1986 (Homoptera). Munis Entomology & Zoology 2 (2), 436–438.
- Popov, Yu.A., 1982. Ranneyurskie poluzhestokrylye roda Olgamartynovia (Hemiptera, Progonocimicidae) iz Sredneï Azii (Upper Jurassic hemipterans, genus Olgamartynovia (Hemiptera, Progonocimicidae) from Central Asia). Paleontological Journal 2, 78–94.
- Popov, Yu.A., 1985. Yurskie klopy i peloridiinovye Yuzhnoï Sibiri i zapadnoï Mongolii (Jurassic bugs and Coleorrhyncha of southern Siberia and western Mongolia). Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta AN SSSR 211, 28–47.
- Popov, Yu.A., 1986. Peloridiinovye i klopy. Peloridiina (=Coleorrhyncha) et Cimicina (= Heteroptera). Trudy Sovmestnöï Sovetsko-Mongolskoï paleontologicheskoï ekspeditsii. In: Rasnitsyn, A.P. (Ed.), Nasekomye v rannemelovykh ekosistmakh zapadnoï Mongoli [Insects in the early Cretaceous ecosystems of the west Mongolia], Vol. 28, pp. 50–83.
- Popov, Yu.A., 1988. Novye mezozoïskie pelorididovye i klopy (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha et Heteroptera) iz vostochnogo Zabaïkaliya. Paleontologicheskiï Zhurnal 4, 67–77. Published in English as: Popov, Yu.A. 1988. New Mesozoic peloridiinians and true bugs (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha and Heteroptera) from eastern Transbaikalia. *Paleontological Journal* 1988 4, 64–73.
- Popov, Yu.A., Shcherbakov, D.E., 1991. Mesozoic Peloridioidea and their ancestors (Hemiptera, Coleorrhyncha). Geologica et Palaeontologica 25, 215–235.
- Popov, Yu.A., Shcherbakov, D.E., 1996. Origin and evolution of the Coleorrhyncha as shown by the fossil record. In: Schaefer, C.W. (Ed.), Studies on hemipteran phylogeny. Thomas Say Publications in Entomology. Entomological Society of America, Lanham, MD, pp. 9–30.
- Popov, Yu.A., Dolling, W.R., Whalley, P.E.S., 1994. British Upper Triassic and Lower Jurassic Heteroptera and Coleorrhyncha (Insecta: Hemiptera). *Genus*. International Journal of Invertebrate Taxonomy 5, 307–347.
- Rasnitsyn, A.P., Öhl-Kühlme, C., 2018. Three new female *Aptenoperissus* from mid--Cretaceous Burmese amber (Hymenoptera, Stephanoidea, Aptenoperissidae): unexpected diversity of paradoxical wasps suggests insular features of source biome. Cretaceous Research 91, 168–175.

- Ross, A., 2015. Insects in Burmese amber. Programme und Abstracts, Entomologentagung 02–05.03, Frankfurt/Main.
- Ross, A.J., 2018. The remarkable palaeodiversity in Burmese amber. In: Wagner-Wysiecka, E., Szwedo, J., Sontag, E., Sobecka, A., Czebreszuk, J., Cwalinski, M. (Eds.), Amberif 2018. International Symposium Amber. Science and Art. Abstracts. Gdansk International Fair Co. (MTG SA), Gdańsk, Poland, pp. 12–16.
- Seton, M., Müller, R.D., Zahirovic, S., Gaina, C., Torsvik, T., Shephard, G., Talsma, A., Gurnis, M., Turner, M., Maus, S., Chandler, M., 2012. Global continental and ocean basin reconstructions since 200 Ma. Earth Science Reviews 113, 212–270.
- Shcherbakov, D.E., 2014. A new species of *Peloridium* (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha, Peloridiidae) from Chile. Far Eastern Entomologist 286, 1–11.
- Shcherbakov, D.E., Popov, Yu.A., 2002. Superorder Cimicidea Laicharting, 1781. Order Hemiptera Linné, 1758. The bugs, cicadas, plantlice, scale insects, etc. (= Cimicida Laicharting, 1781, = Homoptera Leach, 1815 + Heteroptera Latreille, 1810). In: Rasnitsyn, A.P., Quicke, D.L.J. (Eds.), History of insects. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 143–157.
- Shi, G., Grimaldi, D.A., Harlow, G.E., Wang, J., Wang, J., Yang, M., Lei, W., Li, Q., Li, X., 2012. Age constraint on Burmese amber based on U-Pb dating of zircons. Cretaceous Research 37, 155–163.
- Siwanowicz, I., Burrows, M., 2017. Three dimensional reconstruction of energy stores for jumping in planthoppers and froghoppers from confocal laser scanning microscopy. eLife 6, e23824, 1–25.
- Skelton, P. (Ed.), 2003. The Cretaceous World. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 360 pp.
- Smith, R.D.A., Ross, A.J., 2018. Amberground pholadid bivalve borings and inclusions in Burmese amber: implications for proximity of resin—producing forests to brackish waters, and the age of the amber. Earth & Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 107, 239–247.
- Spangenberg, R., Wipfler, B., Friedemann, K., Pohl, H., Weirauch, C., Hartung, V., Beutel, R.G., 2013. The cephalic morphology of the Gondwanan key taxon Hackeriella (Coleorrhyncha, Hemiptera). Arthropod Structure & Development 42, 315–337.
- Sutton, G.P., Burrows, M., 2010. The mechanics of azimuth control in jumping by froghopper insects. Journal of Experimental Biology 213, 1406–1416.
- Sweet, M.H., 1996. Comparative external morphology of the pregenital abdomen of the Hemiptera. In: Schaefer, C.W. (Ed.), Studies on hemipteran phylogeny. Thomas Say Publications in Entomology. Entomological Society of America, Lanham, MD, pp. 119–158.
- Szwedo, J., 2011. Coleorrhyncha (Insecta: Hemiptera) of the European Jurassic and the first Progonocimicidae from the Lower Toarcian of Luxembourg. Volumina Jurassica 9, 3–20.
- Szwedo, J., 2018. The unity, diversity and conformity of bugs (Hemiptera) through time. Earth & Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 107, 109–128.
- Thu, K., Zaw, K., 2017. Gem deposits of Myanmar. In: Barber, A.J., Zaw, K., Crow, M.J. (Eds.), Myanmar: Geology, Resources and Tectonics, 48. Memoirs of the Geologial Society of London, pp. 497–529.
- Vakhrameev, V.A., 1988. Yurskie i melovye flory i klimaty Zemli [Jurassic and Cretaceous floras and climates of the Earth]. Nauka, Moscow, 214 pp.
- Wang, B., Szwedo, J., Zhang, H., 2009. Jurassic Progonocimicidae (Hemiptera) from China and phylogenetic evolution of Coleorrhyncha. Science in China 52, 1953–1961.
- Wang, B., Xia, F., Wappler, T., Simon, E., Zhang, H., Jarzembowski, E.A., Szwedo, J., 2015a. Brood care in a 100-million-year-old scale insect. eLife 4, 1–8.
- Wang, Y., Chen, J., Jiang, L.Y., Qiao, G.X., 2015b. Hemipteran mitochondrial genomes: features, structures and implications for phylogeny. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 16, 12382–12404.
- Wang, B., Xia, F., Engel, M.S., Vincent, P., Shi, G., Zhang, H., Chen, J., Jarzembowski, E.A., Torsten, W., Rust, J., 2016. Debris-carrying camouflage among diverse lineages of Cretaceous insects. Science Advances 2, e1501918, 1–9.
- Wheeler, W.C., Schuh, R.T., Bang, R., 1993. Cladistic congruence among higher groups of Heteroptera: congruence between morphological and molecular data sets. Entomologia Scandinavica 42, 121–137.
- Wilson, S.W., 2005. Keys to the families of Fulgoromorpha with emphasis on planthoppers of potential economic importance in the southeastern United States (Hemiptera: Auchenorrhyncha). Florida Entomologist 88, 464–481.
- Wilson, S.W., McPherson, J.E., 1981. Ontogeny of the tibial spur in *Megamelus davisi* (Homoptera: Delphacidae) and its bearing on delphacid classification. Great Lakes Entomologist 14, 49–50.
- Wu, C.H., Liu, C.L., Yi, H.S., Xia, G.Q., Zhang, H., Wang, L.C., Li, G.J., Wagreich, M., 2017. Mid-Cretaceous desert system in the Simao Basin, southwestern China, and its implications for sea-level change during a greenhouse climate. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 468, 529–544.
- Ye, Z., Damgaard, J., Burckhardt, D., Gibbs, G., Yuan, J., Yang, H., Bu, W., 2018. Phylogeny and historical biogeography of Gondwanan moss-bugs (Insecta: Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha: Peloridiidae). Cladistics 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12237.
- Yoshizawa, K., Ogawa, N., Dietrich, C.H., 2017. Wing base structure supports Coleorrhyncha + Auchenorrhyncha (Insecta: Hemiptera). Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 55, 199–207.
- Zhang, Q., Rasnitsyn, A.P., Wang, B., Zhang, H., Zhang, Q., Rasnitsyn, A.P., Wang, B., Zhang, H., Zhang, Q., Rasnitsyn, A.P., 2017. Myanmarinidae, a new family of basal Apocrita (Hymenoptera: Stephanoidea) from mid-Cretaceous Burmese amber. Cretaceous Research 81, 86–92.
- Zhou, J., Poulsen, C.J., Rosenbloom, N., Shields, C., Briegleb, B., 2012. Vegetation—climate interactions in the warm mid-Cretaceous. Climate of the Past 8, 565–576.